

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,382	11/25/2003	Gordon Munns	3165.41USU1	8003
23552 75	590 03/08/2005		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			WARREN, M	ATTHEW E
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 4-45 0	10/723,382	MUNNS, GORDON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Matthew E. Warren	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30.) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. or days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>10 June 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 and 16-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 10-15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	·	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview	· · Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/04</u>. 	TO-948) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed on June 10, 2004.

Claim Objections

Claims 22 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims include the limitation of the "one layer of GaN being about 0.4 thick" but do not disclose which unit of measurement is desired. The other claims disclose either Angstroms or microns. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al. (US 6,462,361 B1) in view of Flynn et al. (US Pub. 2003/0178633 A1).

In re claims 1, 17, and 24, Udagawa et al. shows (figs. 5 and 6) a device comprising: a substrate (801) a buffer region (802) positioned upon said substrate, wherein said buffer region comprises an upper buffer region (802b) and a lower buffer region (802b) (see col. 14, lines 34-54 for explanation of upper and lower buffer regions); a heterojunction region (803-806) positioned upon said buffer region; and a

Art Unit: 2815

superlattice (802a) positioned between said lower buffer region and said upper buffer region, and wherein said device is configured to function as a heterojunction field effect transistor (col. 1, lines 15-21). Udagawa et al. shows all of the elements of the claims except the superlattice comprising alternating layers of GaN and Al_xGa_{1-x}N. Flynn et al. shows (fig. 3) a heterojunction transistor comprising a superlattice structure having layers of GaN and Al_xGa_{1-x}N. Flynn explains that Group III/V nitride semiconductor materials are useful in FETS because of their high thermal conductivities and large electrical breakdowns [0004-0005]. The invention employs GaN and AlGaN materials to improve electron mobility and enhance device reliability and device performance.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the superlattice structure of Udagawa by substituting the material layers with GaN and AlGaN as taught by Flynn to improve electron mobility and enhance device reliability and device performance.

Also in re claims 16, 17, and 24, Flynn additionally discloses [0072] that the substrate may comprise, sapphire, SiC, or other suitable substrate materials (such as silicon, which is well known as a substrate material).

In re claims 2, 3, 18, and 25, Flynn discloses [0021] that x is from about 0.01 to 0.40.

In re claims 4, 5, 19, 20, 26, and 27, Udagawa discloses (col. 13, lines 50-67) that the superlattice comprises the range of 2-500 individual layers.

In re claims 6 and 7, neither reference discloses the thickness of the upper and lower buffer layers, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/723,382

Art Unit: 2815

art to make the thickness of the buffer layers within the desired range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*

In re claim 8, Udagawa discloses (col. 13, lines 50-67) that the layers of the superlattice are about 10 nm (100 Angstroms), which is between 5 and 200 Angstroms thick.

In re claim 9, Udagawa shows (fig. 6) that the heterojunction region comprises a first and second layer (803 and 804), wherein the second layer is positioned directly above the buffer and the first layer is positioned directly above the second layer.

In re claims 21, 22, 28 and 29 as far as understood, Flynn discloses [0072-0073] that a buffer layer comprises AlN and GaN. The AlN layer is about 400 Angstroms thick, which is within the same order as 300 Angstroms.

In re claims 23 and 30, neither reference specifically discloses the desired thickness of the GaN and AlGaN layers, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the GaN and AlGaN of any desired thickness, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 2815

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weeks, Jr. et al. (US Pub 2004/0119067 A1) and Hayashi et al. (US 6,534,791 B1) also disclose other heterojunction devices having superlattices and nitride semiconductor materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,382

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

MEW

February 28, 2005

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6